Peptide stapling is a method for designing macrocyclic alpha-helical inhibitors of protein–protein interactions. However, obtaining a cell-active inhibitor can require significant optimization. We report a novel stapling technique based on a double strain-promoted azide–alkyne reaction, and exploit its biocompatibility to accelerate the discovery of cell-active stapled peptides. As a proof of concept, MDM2-binding peptides were stapled in parallel, directly in cell culture medium in 96-well plates, and simultaneously evaluated in a p53 reporter assay. This in situ stapling/screening process gave an optimal candidate that showed improved proteolytic stability and nanomolar binding to MDM2 in subsequent biophysical assays. α-Helicity was confirmed by a crystal structure of the MDM2-peptide complex. This work introduces in situ stapling as a versatile biocompatible technique with many other potential high-throughput biological applications.

Macrocyclization is an effective strategy for reinforcing peptides in stable secondary structures.[11] Whilst short peptides derived from proteins can lack a well-defined conformation when used in isolation, cyclisation can restore the native bioactive conformation and hence function of a peptide. By mimicking native binding motifs, cyclized peptides can competitively inhibit protein–protein interactions of clinical relevance, and they have received substantial attention as potential therapeutics.[1,12] One of the most intensely studied targets is the oncogenic p53/MDM2 interaction, in which an N-terminal α-helix of the tumor suppressor p53 binds a hotspot on MDM2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase that downregulates p53 and is overexpressed in some cancers.[13,14] Numerous peptide therapeutics have been developed to target this interaction, with some reaching as far as early-phase clinical trials.[5–10]

Stapled peptides are an important subset of macrocyclic peptides specific to α-helices.[11–15] Stapling involves two amino acids with non-native side chains that can be covalently linked, producing a cyclized peptide that may display enhanced proteolytic stability, binding affinity, and cellular uptake. Whilst the term “stapling” was originally coined by Verdine and Walensky for work on all-hydrocarbon linkers generated by metathesis (after Grubbs),[11,12,16] there is now great interest in alternative chemistries for side-chain cross-linking to give peptides with novel structures and biological properties. Of particular note are modular two-component strategies, where the staple linkage and peptide are separate moieties prior to cyclisation (Figure 1).[14] We recently developed a two-component stapling technique that makes use of double Cu-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC),[17,18] following related work by Bong[19] and other click systems.[20–22] Combining a two-component approach with click chemistry enables easy access to peptides bearing different functional staples. Whilst we appended cell-permeabilizing motifs to the staple, others have used a two-component approach to create photoswitchable,[23] reversible,[24] and dynamic linkers.[25]

When screening for an optimal inhibitor, stapling reactions are typically carried out on many peptide variants. A practical bottleneck for two-component strategies is that, unlike hydrocarbon stapling, cyclisation is typically done in solution to avoid on-resin site isolation.[21,22] Hence, from the pure unstapled peptide, extra purification is needed after cyclisation to remove reagents/catalyst prior to assays (Figure 1).

We decided to develop a stapling technique that would be biocompatible and so simple to conduct that it could be done in parallel on a large peptide library, even directly in the culture medium of a primary cell-based 96-well assay (Figure 1). This in situ approach would be faster than setting up a dedicated stapling reaction for each library variant, which is required in all current methods, and eliminates the
This in situ strategy is the first example of stapling in a live biological context, since most techniques are incompatible owing to metal catalysis,[7,19,20] inert atmosphere or protecting groups,[28,29] or thiols[30–33]/amines[34] that might cross-react in biological systems. Whilst the oxime/hydrazine staples by Horne,[25] photocycloaddition staples by Lin,[35] and macrocyclic organo–peptide hybrids by Fasan have potential for in situ use,[10] we favored a non-dynamic system without UV irradiation or recombinant precursors.

Strained diyne 1 was prepared according to the method of Orita et al.,[36] and a test reaction with Fmoc-Aha-OH 2 gave the expected bis(triazole) compounds 3 and 4 (Figure 2b and Figure S1.4.1 in the Supporting Information). Stapling of p53-derived diazidopeptide A (Figure 2c) with linker 1 in 1:1 H2O/BuOH gave stapled peptide A1 in 60% yield (Figure 2c; assigned as the anti regiosomer, see later crystallography on an analogous peptide). Minor byproducts of the same mass were observed. These may be stable alternative conformations of the syn form, with MD simulations suggesting the possibility of at least two extra non-interchanging conformations (Figure S12.1.3). An excess of 1 did not affect the reaction, and comparable results were obtained when swapping BuOH for MeOH, MeCN, or DMSO. Importantly, the reaction also proceeded in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with fetal calf serum and 1% DMSO.

The binding affinity of A1 for MDM2 was 3.1 ± 0.4 nm by competitive fluorescence polarization (FP),[7] which is more potent than that of wild-type p53 (820 ± 60 nm) and unstacked A (16 ± 1 nm). Despite this encouraging in vitro result, up to 100 μM of A1 did not induce activation of p53 in an established T22 cell reporter assay,[10] which is in line with previous studies showing poor uptake of this sequence.[6,7]

To test our in situ approach, we investigated sequence variants B–E, which are based on the phage-derived peptides PMI/PDI and were previously investigated for hydrocarbon stapling (Figure 3a).[5–8,10] To determine which variant would induce greatest p53 activation upon stapling with 1, we directly treated p53 reporter cells for the cell-based assay[5] in 96-well format with 0.5 μM 1 and 50 μM unstacked peptides A–E in DMEM. All five peptides were stapled in situ with Fmoc-Aha-OH or thiols and at est reaction with Fmoc-Aha-OH A (Figure 3a). Cells treated with 1 in 1:1 BuOH proceeded with similar yield in each case, and up to 18 h incubation, p53 activation was observed for B–E, whilst no activation was observed for A (Figure 3a). Cells treated with 1 or A–E only showed no p53 activation. From this in situ procedure, we were rapidly able to rank peptide activity, finding that E stapled with 1 (E + 1) was the most potent activator of p53.

We resynthesized and isolated stapled peptides A1–E1 to verify that the activity ranking from in situ stapling was consistent with standard testing of pure peptides.[7] Stapling in H2O/BuOH proceeded with similar yield in each case, and the same activity ranking was observed for the purified peptides in the reporter assay, with E1 again inducing the greatest response (Figure 3b). An F3A control for E1 was found to have no cellular activity (Figure S11.2.1). The response using pure peptides was greater than in the in situ procedure, potentially due to gradual product formation over 18 h and losses due to syn/anti byproducts. Despite these possible confounding factors, the reactivity of linker 1 was still sufficiently robust to rank peptide activity.
Taking E1 forward, we obtained its crystal structure in complex with MDM2 (17–108, E69A/K70A; [38] Figure 4). The structure shows E1 in an α-helical conformation, placing the binding triad (F3, W7, L10) in the correct orientation for engaging the MDM2 hotspot. The bis(triazolyl) staple is found as the anti regioisomer and forms interactions with the protein, a phenomenon only previously seen with hydrocarbon-stapled peptides (Figure S5.3.2). [5,39–41]

By FP,[7,9] A1–E1 all showed potent affinity for MDM2 (Figure 5a), thus exemplifying how in vitro binding does not always translate to cellular activity, owing to other factors such as uptake. A comparable binding affinity for E1 was obtained by isothermal calorimetry (12 ± 3 nM, Figure S4.2.1).

Based on thermal shift in OCI/AML-2 lysate, [42,43] both E1 and control Nutlin-3 show increased stabilization of MDM2 (Figure 5b). Uptake of E1 was observed by confocal microscopy in T22 cells (Figure 5c).

**Figure 3.** p53 activation in a cellular reporter assay for a) in situ stapling with peptides A–E (50 μM) and linker 1 (0.5 mM), and b) pre-stapled peptides A1–E1. Unstapled peptide controls A–E were tested at 100 μM (also see Figure S2.2.1). X = Orn(N3), data reported as fold activation over 1% DMSO. A is a p53-derived peptide (K24R) we previously used,[7] C is based on phage peptide PMI, and [8,9] B, D, and E are based on phage peptide PDI.[5,37]

**Figure 4.** Crystal structure of E1 bound to MDM2 at 1.9 Å resolution (PDB ID: 5afg), showing the α-helical conformation and the anti regioisomer of the staple. For clarity, only the staple and side chains of the three binding residues are shown. The 2Fo − Fc electron density map is contoured at 1σ.

**Figure 5.** a) Binding affinities by fluorescence polarization (FP). b) MDM2 is stabilized by E1 as shown by cell lysate thermal shift. c) Confocal microscopy of TAMRA-E1 (20 μM) in live T22 cells, scale bar: 25 μm. Peptide shown in red, nuclei in blue. d) E1 shows increased stability to proteolysis by chymotrypsin.

In conclusion, this work introduces a new stapling technique with unique biocompatibility. Linker 1 was used for stapling in situ, leading to rapid selection of optimal candidate E1. Having established the chemistry, we now pave the way for applying in situ stapling to new biological targets. Only five peptides were screened in this study, since well-characterized sequences were already available. For new targets, more variants will be needed before finding a promising hit. A major advantage of our method is the potential to
staple these variants with different strained linkers, efficiently covering a wider area of chemical space. Finally, different high-throughput assays can enable rapid evaluation of other properties, for example, high-content analysis of peptide uptake/localization, whilst stapling biosynthetic diazidopeptides could lead to vast screening libraries.
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